

United States Patent and Trademark Office

MAK

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/647,456	08/26/2003	Kiyono Ikenaka	02860.0751 3246		
22852 75	90 07/27/2006		EXAMINER		
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			EDUN, MOHAMMAD N		
LLP 901 NEW YOR	K AVENUE, NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			2627		
•	DATE MAILED: 07/27/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	Application No. Applica		olicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/647,45	6	IKENAKA ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		минамм	AD N. EDUN	2627					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on .							
		 ☑ This action is n	on-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-52 is/are pending in the app	olication.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)🖂	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35 and 37-48</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>36 and 49-52</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election re	equirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b)	objected to by the E	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		O-152)				
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 36 and 49-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 36 is deemed an improper dependent claim because it depends on itself.

Correction is required.

Claims 49-52 are deemed improper dependent claims because they do not limit their base claims, i.e. having the phrase "for use in" does not provide any meaningful limitations to the base claims. Further the claims seem to be structured incorrectly. It is not clear at to what is being claimed. The claims would have to be in rewritten in independent form in order to make more sense. However, even in independent form, it seems that they would be confusing. Clarification is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,456

Art Unit: 2627

Page 3

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-35 and 37-48 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable

subject matter:

The prior art or record alone or in combination does not teach or suggest the optical pickup having the combination of elements with their recited structure, and taken the claimed limitations as whole in combination with the specific structure and function of the light-converging optical system, and also having a deteriorated spherical aberration due to wavelength change in the light source and a deteriorated spherical aberration due to a temperature change are regulated to be within a range necessary for recording and/or reproducing information of information, as set forth in claims 1-35 and 37-48.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

Kimura et al. (2005/0281169), Saito et al. (2002/0159377), Kimura et al. (2006/0039266) and Sakamoto (2003/0058776), all discloses an optical disk apparatus

Application/Control Number: 10/647,456 Page 4

Art Unit: 2627

having an optical pickup including a converging optical element, and describes that it is possible to have the spherical aberration deteriorated due to temperature change.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MUHAMMAD N. EDUN whose telephone number is 571-272-7617. The examiner can normally be reached on FLEXITIME.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,456

Art Unit: 2627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MUHAMMAD N EDUN Primary Examiner Art Unit 2627

had Ed

Page 5